

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,437	09/28/2001	Masafumi Fukuda	110738	2044	
25944	7590 06/28/2004		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			WU, XIA	WU, XIAO MIN	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2674	74	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		
•		09/964,43	37	FUKUDA, MASAFUMI		
it (	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		XIAO M. V	VU	2674		
TI Period for R	ne MAILING DATE of this communicepty	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORT THE MAI - Extensions after SIX (i - If the perio - If NO perio - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of time may be available under the provisions of the maximum state of the provision of the	CATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication.  of days, a reply within the statututory period will apply and wivill, by statute, cause the apply.	ent, however, may a reply be tim story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠ Re:	sponsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>14 April 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ Thi	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	of Claims					
4a) 5)⊠ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla 8)□ Cla	tim(s) 2,3,6,7,9-19 and 22 is/are p Of the above claim(s) is/are tim(s) 2,3,6,7 and 9-17 is/are allow tim(s) 18,19 and 22 is/are rejected tim(s) is/are objected to. tim(s) are subject to restrict  Papers  specification is objected to by the	e withdrawn from conved.  I.  tion and/or election re	nsideration.			
Apr Rep	drawing(s) filed on is/are: blicant may not request that any objectly objected to eath or declaration is objected to	tion to the drawing(s) b the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice of I	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F (s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/964,437

Art Unit: 2674

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 18, 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misawa (US Potent No. 5,250,931) in view of Kudo (US Patent No. 6,118,425).

As to claims 18, 22, Misawa discloses a display panel which is formed on a glass substrate (11, Fig. 1), and a plurality of display driver (12, 21) which are mounted on the glass substrate and drive the display panel, wherein each of the display drivers generate driving voltage for driving the display panel based on a power source voltage supplied through an interconnecting line (36) formed on the glass substrate (11), wherein the voltage supplied through the interconnecting line is gray scale driving voltage (e.g. VI, V2, V3, see col. 5, lines 8-10). Misawa also discloses that the interconnecting line which is supplied to another semiconductor device (e.g. 17, 18, 19) mounted on the glass substrate (11) as require in claim 22. It is noted that Misawa does not specifically disclose the display drivers include a voltage-follower-type operational amplifier circuit. However, using a voltage-follower-type operation amplifier circuit in a LCD display driver is well known in the art such as taught by Kudo (e.g. Fig. 31 of Kudo shows a voltage follower using operational amplifiers 811). Kudo further discloses that the impedance conversion is performed at each of the display drivers (see col. 18, lines 11-16 and Fig. 31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have

Application/Control Number: 09/964,437

Art Unit: 2674

modified Misawa with the features of the voltage-follower-type operational amplifier circuit as taught by Kudo because Kudo's driver circuit provides a method of selectively driving a plurality of lines, in which shadowing in the vertical direction due to the difference in waveform distortion of a data voltage can be reduced (col. 2, lines 55-58).

As to claim 19, Misawa discloses the display panel is an active matrix panel.

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-3, 6-7 and 9-17 are allowed.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 4/14/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Misawa or Shimizu do not teach impedance conversion is performed at each of the display drivers. This argument is not persuasive because the newly applied reference to Kudo clearly teaches such limitations. See the above rejection with regarding to Kudo.

## Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The US Patents 5,745,092 is cited to teach a LCD driver including impedance conversion in a voltage-follower circuit.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Xiao Wu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4721.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/964,437

Art Unit: 2674

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington.

VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377

xw

June 24, 2004

XIAO WU PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2674

de Wh